

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

> P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 02/27/2002 Akira Hokazono 220110US2S 10/083,163 22850 09/04/2003 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. **EXAMINER** 1940 DUKE STREET DICKEY, THOMAS L ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 2826

DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>a</b> )	•	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/083,163		HOKAZONO, AKIRA		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Thomas L Dickey		2826		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 June 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	e of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No( Patent Application (PT		

Application/Control Number: 10/083,163 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed on 12 June 2003 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the last lines of claims 1 and 12, reference to "the top surface of the gate electrode" (emphasis added) is indefinite, in that claims 1 and 12 each include at least two transistors, each transistor recited as including "a gate electrode." Without more description of the gate electrode recited in the last lines of these claims, a reader cannot discern which of the previously introduced gate electrodes applicant is referring to in the last lines of claims 1 and 12.

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,163

Art Unit: 2826

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. An invention that combines the limitations of claims 1 and 12, as originally written, with the limitation that "the interconnect layer having a top surface which is lower than a top surface of the gate electrode," is not disclosed in the original application.

It is noted that the limitation, "having a top surface which is lower than a top surface of the gate electrode," is a negative limitation, that is to say, it requires the invention to not be something it could have been (i.e. the interconnect layer could have had a top surface formed at any level) without additional limitations, as opposed to positively requiring the invention to be something it was not, without additional limitations.

To support a negative limitation, the original disclosure must go beyond merely stating that the invention may be practiced within the constraints of the negative limitation. For support, there must be a clear statement that the invention cannot be practiced <u>outside</u> of said constraints.

For this reason one must look to the original application for a clear statement by Applicant that the invention cannot be practiced when the top surface of the interconnect layer is higher than a top surface of a gate layer. Applicant has made no such statement. To the contrary, Applicant has clearly stated that in at least one embodiment, the

Art Unit: 2826

top surface of the interconnect layer should be <u>higher</u> (not lower, as the amended claims require) than a top surface of a gate layer. Note figure 10 and page 29 line 4 of the application.

## Response to Arguments

**4.** Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,163 Page 5

Art Unit: 2826

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas L Dickey whose telephone number is 703-308-0980. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Friday 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3431.

tid 08/2003

NATHAN J. FLYNN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800